

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,632	02/27/2007	Naoaki Kanaya	293070US0PCT	8697
22850 7590 02/11/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
	•			
÷		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

A second			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,632	KANAYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year of the provision of the	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. Dwance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been a priority documents have been a	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/06, 3/28/07, 12/4/07.	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application -	

10/584,632 Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The following eight different factors (see Ex parte Foreman, 230 USPQ at 547; Wands, In re, 858.F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, Fed. Cir. 1988) must be considered in order for the specification to be enabling for what is being claimed:

Quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability and the breadth of claims. In the instant case, the specification is not enabling based on atleast four of the above mentioned eight different factors such as quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence of working examples, state of the prior art, unpredictability and the breadth of claims.

In regard to lack of enablement issue of instant claims 1-9 for solvates of instant compounds of formula (I), there is no teaching or guidance present in the specification

Application/Control Number:

10/584,632 Art Unit: 1625

for preparing any specific solvates. Preparation of specific solvates of any compound is a very specialized field and involves their characterization using different techniques such as infrared spectrum, XRD powder diffraction etc. There is no teaching or guidance present in the specification regarding any specific solvents used for preparing specific solvates and their characterization using any techniques such as XRD powder diffraction or infrared spectrum etc. There is not even a single example present for preparing any specific solvate of instant compounds of formula (1). There is lot of unpredictability regarding stability of different solvates of any compound in the art. The instant compounds of formula (1) encompasses hundreds of thousands of compounds based on the values of variables R1, R2, Ar1 and Ar2 and therefore, in absence of such teachings, guidance, presence of working examples and unpredictability, it would require undue experimentation to select specific solvates of instant compounds with enhanced stability properties.

In regard to enablement rejection of claims 7 and 9 for method of treatment, the specification teaches that the instant compounds are inhibitors of platelet coagulation in vitro (see table 1 on page 510 of specification). There is no teaching or guidance present in the specification or prior art that inhibitors of platelet coagulation are implicated in the etiology of every known ischemic condition. There is no teaching in the prior art that structurally closely related compounds having inhibitory activity on platelet coagulation are known to treat every known ischemic condition. There are no working examples present showing efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of any ischemic condition. The instant compounds of formula (I) encompasses hundreds

10/584,632 Art Unit: 1625

of thousands of compounds based on the values of variables R1, R2, Ar1 and Ar2 and therefore, in absence of such teachings, guidance, presence of working examples and prior art, it would require undue experimentation to demonstrate efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of every known ischemic condition in the art and hence their utility for treating but not preventing these disorders.

In regard to preventing any disease condition, it is well known in the art that there are multiple mechanisms involved in the etiology of ant disease condition. Therefore, correcting only one of these several mechanisms such as inhibition of platelet coagulation in the instant case will not prevent (completely cure) that disease condition.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, the term --- drug --- is vague. The applicants are suggested to use the term -- A pharmaceutical composition ----.

Claim 8 provides for the use of a compound, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Application/Control Number:

10/584,632 Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boigegrain (EP 477 049, cited on applicants form 1449).

Boigegrain discloses N-(pyrazolylcarbonyl) amino acids and analogs as antipsychotics. The compounds no. 69 (see table 4 on page 27), 263 and 264 (see table 14 on page 49) disclosed by Boigegrain anticipate the instant claims when Ar represents either phenyl group or a pyridyl group in the instant compounds of formula (I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

10/584,632

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625